

| |
|---|
| Espinal v Vargas |
| 2012 NY Slip Op 09023 |
| Decided on December 26, 2012 |
| Appellate Division, Second Department |
| Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. |
| This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. |

Decided on December 26, 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION : SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

PETER B. SKELOS, J.P.
L. PRISCILLA HALL
SHERI S. ROMAN
JEFFREY A. COHEN, JJ.

2011-07686
(Index No. 28411/08)

[*1]Ysidra Espinal, etc., respondent,

v

Waldo J. Vargas, appellant.

Cheven, Keely & Hatzis, New York, N.Y. (Thomas Torto and Jason Levine of counsel), for appellant.

Michael A. Cervini, Elmhurst, N.Y. (Robin Mary Heaney of counsel), for respondent.

DECISION & ORDER

In an action, inter alia, to recover damages for wrongful death and conscious pain and suffering, etc., the defendant appeals from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Queens County (Lane, J.), dated June 10, 2011, which, upon the granting of the plaintiff's motion pursuant to CPLR 4401 for judgment as a matter of law on the issue of liability made at the close of the evidence, and upon a jury verdict on the issue of damages awarding the plaintiff, individually, the principal sum of \$24,000 for economic loss and, as administrator for the estate of Elvia L. Collado, the principal sums of \$250,000 for the decedent's conscious pain and suffering and \$275,000 in punitive damages, is in favor of the plaintiff and against him in the principal sum of \$549,000.

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed, with costs.

Contrary to the defendant's contention, there was sufficient evidence to support the award for conscious pain and suffering. The plaintiff's expert testified that the decedent was conscious for 39 minutes between the time the accident occurred and the time she was placed under anesthesia for surgery. During that time, the decedent experienced abdominal bleeding and sharp pain from broken ribs which perforated her lungs, and she was "moaning" and "groaning" in response to questioning. Accordingly, we find that a verdict awarding damages for conscious pain and suffering was warranted (*see McDougald v Garber*, 73 NY2d 246; *Ramos v Shah*, 293 AD2d 459).

Moreover, under the circumstances of this case, it cannot be said that the jury's award for conscious pain and suffering was excessive, since it did not deviate materially from what would be reasonable compensation (*see CPLR 5501[c]*).

The defendant's remaining contentions are without merit.

SKELOS, J.P., HALL, ROMAN and COHEN, JJ., concur. [*2]

ENTER:

Aprilanne Agostino

Clerk of the Court