Summation - Plaintiff

THE COURT: You may be seated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members of the jury, I charge you on the law of damages must not be taken as a suggestion that you should find for the plaintiff or plaintiffs. It is for you to decide on the evidence that has been presented and the rules of law that I will give to you whether the plaintiff or plaintiffs are entitled to recover from the defendant.

If you decide that the plaintiff or plaintiffs are not entitled to recover from the defendant, you need not consider damages. Only if you decide that the plaintiff or plaintiffs are entitled to recover will you consider the measure of damages.

If you find the plaintiff or plaintiffs are entitled to recover from the defendant, you must render a verdict in a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the plaintiff or plaintiffs for all losses resulting from the injuries that they sustained.

You must now decide from the evidence before you the total amount of damages suffered by the plaintiff or the plaintiffs in dollars in accordance with the rules that I'm about to explain to you.

In arriving at your total, you must not consider the percentages of negligence that you found at the liability stage of the trial. You do not consider that. But it must simply -- you must simply report the total

amount of plaintiffs' damages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

You are reminded, as I told you at the liability portion of the trial, you are the sole exclusive judges of the facts and in this field you are supreme.

You recall that at the beginning of the trial I stated to you, and at the end of the liability phase, certain principles that you could have them in mind as the trial progressed. Briefly that you are not bound -- you are bound rather, let me briefly, that you are bound to accept the rules of law that I give to you whether you agree with them or not.

Further, that you must not conclude from my rulings or anything that I've said during the course of this trial that I favor any party to this lawsuit.

Furthermore, that you may not draw any inference from an unanswered question nor consider testimony which has been struck from the record in reaching your decision, and that there is no magical formula which can be used in evaluating the testimony of the witness.

The tests used in your every day affairs to decide the reliability or unreliability of statements made to you by others is the test that you are to apply in your deliberations in this case.

> The law does not require that you have to accept all the evidence that I have admitted during this trial. In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

deciding what evidence you will accept, you must make your own evaluation of testimony given by each of the witnesses and decide how much weight you will give to that witness' testimony.

Again, I will remind you about falsus in uno. If you find that any witness has testified falsely as to a material fact, that is, as to an important matter, the law permits you to disregard completely the entire testimony of that witness upon the principle that one who testifies falsely about one material fact is likely to testify falsely about everything.

You are not required, however, to consider such a witness as totally unworthy of belief. You may accept so much of his or her testimony that you deem true and disregard what you feel is false.

By the process by which I have just described to you, you, as the sole judges of the facts, decide which of the witnesses you will believe, what portion of their testimony you will accept and what weight you will give to that testimony.

Burden of proof. The burden of proof rests on the plaintiff or plaintiffs. That means that it must be established by a fair preponderance of the credible evidence, that the claim that plaintiff or plaintiffs make are true. The credible evidence means the testimony or

î

	248 Judge's Charge
1	exhibits that you find worthy to be believed.
2	A preponderance of the evidence means a greater
3	part of such evidence. That does not mean the greater
4	number of witnesses or the greater length of time taken by
5	either side. The phrase refers to the quality of the
6	
7	evidence; that is, its convincing quality, the weight and effect that it has on your minds.
8	
9	The law requires that, in order for the plaintiff
10	or plaintiffs to prevail on a claim, the evidence that
	supports his and/or her claim must appeal to you as more
11	nearly representing what took place than the evidence
12	opposed to his and/or her claim.
13	If it does not or if it weighs so evenly that you
14	are unable to say that there is a preponderance, a
15	preponderance on either side, then you must decide the
16	question in favor of the defendant. It is only if the
17	evidence favoring the plaintiff's claim outweighs the
18	evidence opposed to it that you can find in favor of the
19	plaintiff or plaintiffs.
20	In deciding this case, you may consider only the
21	exhibits which have been admitted into evidence and the
22	testimony of the witnesses as you have heard them in this
23	courtroom or as it has been read to you, testimony given to
24	you at an Examination Before Trial.
25	Under our rules of practice, an Examination Before

•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Trial is taken under oath and is entitled to equal consideration by you notwithstanding the fact it was taken before the trial and outside the courtroom; however, arguments, remarks and summations of the attorneys are not evidence nor is anything that I say to you now or may have said to you in regard to the facts is evidence.

Expert witnesses. You will recall that certain witnesses testified concerning their qualifications as an expert in their respective fields and they gave opinions concerning issues in that is case. There was Dr. Itzhak Haimovic, M.D. medical doctor, neurologist and a neurophysiologist. He was called by the plaintiff.

Then you had Dr. Michael Weintraub, an M.D., neurologist and pain management doctor called by the defendant.

When a case involves a matter of science or art or it requires special knowledge or skills not ordinarily possessed by the average person, an expert is permitted to testify or state his or her opinion for the information of the Court and the jury.

The opinion stated by the experts who testified before you are based on particular facts as the experts obtain knowledge of them and testify to you before you or as the attorneys who questioned the experts ask the experts to assume. You may reject the experts' opinion if you find the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facts to be different from those which form the basis for that opinion.

You may also reject the opinion if, after careful consideration of all the evidence in the case, expert or otherwise, you disagree with that opinion. In other words, you are not required to accept an expert's opinion to the exclusion of the facts and circumstances disclosed by other testimony. Such an opinion is subject to the same rules concerning reliability as the testimony of any other witness. It is given to assist you in reaching a proper conclusion and it is entitled to such weight as you find the expert's qualification in the field warrant and must be considered by you but is not controlling upon your judgment.

Interested witness. You recall the plaintiffs both testified before you at this stage of the trial as parties to the action, they are considered interested witnesses. What does this mean? An interested witness is not necessarily less believable than a disinterested witness. The fact that he and/or she is interested in the outcome of the case does not mean that he or she does not tell the truth. It is for you to decide from the demeanor of the witness on the witness stand and such other test as your experience dictates whether or not the testimony has been influenced intentionally or unintentionally by his and/or her interest.

1	You may, if you consider proper under all the
2	circumstances not believe the testimony of such a witness
3	even though it is not otherwise challenged or contradicted.
4	However, you are not required to reject the testimony of
. 5	such a witness and you may accept all or such part of his
6	and/or her testimony as you find reliable and reject such
7	part as you find unworthy of acceptance.
. 8	I will now read to you the contentions of the
9	parties, again, keeping in mind that these are their
10	contentions.
11	I will read the plaintiffs' contentions first and
12	then I will read the defendants' connections.
13	Plaintiffs' connections with respect to damages:
14	The plaintiff, Antonia Mary Corapi, contends that as a
15	result of her fall on April 14, 2007, she sustained a
16	compression fracture of her first lumbar vertebral body.
17	Plaintiff further contends, as a result of the
18	fracture, she underwent a surgical procedure known as
19	kyphoplasty at the North Shore University Hospital in
20	Plainview.
21	Plaintiff contends further that the kyphoplasty
22	procedure was unsuccessful, that her pain continued, and
23	that a further surgical procedure at Winthrop University
24	Hospital was required involving bone grafting at T11-T12
25	decompression procedure, and a Tll L3 spinal fusion with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

instrumentation together with complications from the surgery including pulmonary collapse, acute respiratory failure, acute renal failure, and congestive heart failure.

Plaintiff contends that she has a 16 centimeter scar at her surgical site.

Plaintiff further contends that her recovery from the surgical procedures were painful and that she continues to suffer pain to date together with the interruption of her usual and customary pre-accident life's activities.

And those are the contentions of the plaintiff. And defendants' contentions, keeping in mind that these are defendants' contentions.

13 The defendant contends that plaintiff suffered from a long-standing, significant progressive degenerative 14 15 spine and inflammatory bone disease that long predated this 16 incident, that the incident of April 14, 2007 was incidental 17 in nature in the setting of plaintiff's prior medical issues 18 including severe osteoporosis, previous falls, marked 19 elevation of sedimentation rate, atrial fibrillation, disk herniations, prior anxiety disorder and depression and a 20 21 vitamin B12 deficiency; that the incident produced a soft tissue injury to the buttocks and back; that the surgery 22 23 that was performed was unrelated to the incident and a 24 reflection of this significant progressive degenerative 25 spine and inflammatory bone disease as confirmed by

objective neurological examination and review of all relevant medical records.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

The defendant further contends that the plaintiff's claim for past and future pain and suffering are not causally related to the incident in question.

And those are the contentions of the defendant.

Therefore, this accident, plaintiffs' contend that this accident of April 14, 2007 was a proximate cause that is a substantial factor of plaintiff's injuries and/or aggravated her injuries.

The defendant claims that the accident of April 14, 2007 was not a proximate cause, a substantial factor in causing plaintiff's injuries.

Proximate cause. Again, I will define it for you: An act or omission is regarded as a cause of injury if it was a substantial factor in bringing about the injury or injuries; that is, such an effect in producing the injury that reasonable people would regard it as a cause of the injury.

20 There may be more than one cause of an injury. To 21 be substantial, it cannot be slight or trivial.

If you decide that the defendant is liable for damages, plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum of money which will justly and fairly compensate her for any injuries and conscious pain and suffering up to the date of your

verdict that was caused by the defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Conscious pain and suffering means pain and suffering of which there was some level of awareness by the plaintiff.

Loss of enjoyment of life. In determining the amount, if any, to be awarded to the plaintiff for pain and suffering, you will take into consideration the effect that plaintiff's injuries may have on plaintiff's ability to enjoy life.

Loss of the enjoyment of life involves the loss of ability to perform daily tasks, to participate in the activities that are a part of a person's life before the injury and to experience the pleasures of life; however, a person suffers a loss of enjoyment of life only if the person is aware at some level of the loss that she has suffered.

If you find that plaintiff, as a result of her injuries, suffered some loss of the ability to enjoy life and that plaintiff was aware of that loss, you may take that loss into consideration in determining the amount to be awarded to the plaintiff for pain and suffering up to today.

With respect to any of plaintiff's injury or disabilities, plaintiff is entitled to recover for future pain and suffering and disability and loss of her ability to enjoy life. In this regard, you are to take into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consideration the period of time that the injuries are expected to continue or rather injuries or disabilities are expected to continue.

If you find that the injuries or disabilities are permanent, you should take into consideration the period of time that plaintiff can be expected to live.

In accordance with statistical life expectancy tables, plaintiff has a life expectancy of 85 and a half years. Such table will provide nothing more than a statistical average. It neither guarantees that the plaintiff will live an additional 11.5 years or means that she will not live for a longer period.

The life expectancy figure that I have given to you is not binding upon you but may be considered by you together with your own experience and the evidence that you've heard concerning the condition of plaintiff's health, habits and her activities in deciding what the plaintiff's present life expectancy is.

Aggravation of a pre-existing injury. If you find that before the accident of April 14, 2007, the plaintiff had degenerative disk disease, osteoporosis, arthritis and other medical conditions, and further find that because of the accident, these conditions were aggravated so as to cause increased suffering and disability, then the plaintiff is entitled to recover for any increased disability or pain

resulting from such aggravation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She is not, however, entitled to recover for any physical ailment or disability which existed prior to the accident of April 14, 2007 and from any injuries from which she may now be suffering which were not caused or contributed to by the accident of April 14, 2007.

Plaintiff can recover only for damage caused by aggravation of a pre-existing condition, not for the condition itself.

The plaintiff should be compensated only to the extent that you find her condition was made worse by the defendant's negligence.

If you decide for the plaintiff on the question of damages, plaintiff will be entitled to recover the amount of reasonable expenditures for medical services and medicines including physician charges, hospital expenses, and x-ray charges. Thus, you will include in your verdict the amount that you find of the evidence to be a fair and reasonable amount of the medical expenses incurred as a result of plaintiff's injuries.

If you decide for the plaintiff on the question of damages, you must include in your verdict an award for past and future pain and suffering. That amount must include the amount for the injuries suffered and for the permanent effect of the injury.

	257
÷	Judge's Charge
1	Based upon the evidence, you may include an award
2	for past pain and suffering intended to compensate the
3	plaintiff for pain and suffering incurred before your
4	verdict and amounts included to compensate the plaintiff for
5	pain and suffering to be incurred in the future.
6	To make an award for an item of pain and suffering
7	to include in the future, you must state the period of years
8	of which the amount is awarded to intend to provide
9	compensation and the amount you fix must represent the full
10	amount awarded to the plaintiff for that item of damage for
11	that future period without reduction to present value.
12	Your verdict, you will include answers to the
13	following questions and, again, I will read you the verdict
14	sheet at the end of the charge.
15	State separately the amount awarded to the item of
16	damages incurred up to the date of your verdict. You have
17	medical expenses and then you have pain and suffering up to
18	the date of your verdict.
19	With respect to damages to be awarded in the
20	future with respect to pain and suffering, include permanent
21	effect of the injury from the time of the verdict to the
22	time that plaintiff could be expected to live.
23	If you decide to award an amount to compensate
24	plaintiff for the pain and suffering to be incurred in the
25	future, you must state the period of years over which such

cole Charge

Judge's Charge
amounts are intended to provide compensation.
If your verdict is in favor of the plaintiff,
plaintiff will not be required to pay income taxes on the
award and you must not add to or subtract from the award any
amount on account of income taxes.
Loss of services with respect to Peter Corapi. If
you find the injured plaintiff's husband is entitled to
recover, you will award him damages for the pecuniary loss
which you find he sustained by the loss of his spouses
services in society.
In deciding the amount of such damages, you may
take into consideration the nature and extent of the wife's
services in society before the injury including her
disposition, temperament, character and attainments, the
interest that she showed in her home, the social life of her
family, and the comfort, happiness, education and general
welfare of the members of the family. The services she

she rendered in superintending the household, assisting her spouse in the management of their affairs in which the spouse was engaged, her acts of affection and love and the extent to which her injuries she sustained prevented her from performing such services and providing such society.

You will award the plaintiff's husband such an amount based upon the evidence and upon your own observation, experience, knowledge, consciously applied to

1	be, whether it will please or displease anyone, be popular
2	or unpopular or indeed any consideration outside the case as
3	it has been presented to you in this courtroom.
4	You should consider only the evidence, both the
5	testimony and the exhibits, find the facts from what you
6	considered to be the believable evidence, and apply the law
7	as I have given it to you to the facts as you determine them
8	to be.
9	Your verdict will be determined by the conclusion
10	that you reach no matter who the verdict helps or who the
11	verdict hurts.
12	While it is important that all the jurors be
. 13	considered, all of the views of all the jurors be
14	considered, a verdict of five of six members of the jury
15	will be sufficient.
16	Under the law, wherever five of your members are
17	in agreement on a verdict, you may report your verdict to
18	the Court.
19	I have now outlined for you the rules of law that
20	apply to this case and the process by which you weigh the
21	evidence and decide the facts.
22	In a few minutes, you will retire to the jury room
23	for your deliberations.
24	Traditionally, juror number one acts as a
25	foreperson. The first order of business when you are in the

jury room will be the election of a foreperson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In order that your deliberations may proceed in an orderly fashion, you must have a foreperson but, of course, that person's vote is entitled to no greater weight than that of any other juror.

Your function to reach a fair decision from the evidence is a very important one. When you are in the jury room, listen to each other and discuss the evidence and issues in the case amongst yourselves.

It is the duty of each of you as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view towards reaching an agreement on a verdict if you can do so without violating your individual judgment and your conscious.

While you should not surrender conscious convictions of what the truth is and the weight and effect of the evidence and while each of you must decide this case for yourself and not merely consent to the decision of your fellow jurors, you should examine the issues and the evidence before you with candor and frankness and with proper respect for the opinions of each other.

Remember in your deliberations that this dispute between the parties is for them a very important matter. They and the Court rely upon you to give full deliberations to the issue and evidence before you. By so doing, you carry out to the fullest your oaths that you took in this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

courtroom at the beginning of the trial as jurors to truly try the issues in this case and to render a true verdict.

If you have a question for the Court during your deliberations, write it down and give the note to the court officer who will be sitting outside your door and who will deliver the note to me without discussing it first with anyone else.

Further, do not use your cellphones for any reason why you are deliberating. This will prevent the necessity of me collecting your cellphones during deliberations.

This case will be decided on the basis of answers that you give to certain questions that will be submitted to you.

Each of the questions asked for a yes or no answer or some numerical figure. While it is important that the views of all the jurors be considered, five of the six of you must agree on the answer to any question but the same five persons need not agree on all of the answers.

When five of you have agreed on an answer, the foreperson of the jury will write the answer in the space provided for each answer and then each juror will sign the appropriate place to indicate his or her agreement.

When you have answered all questions that require answers, you report to the Court. Do not assume from the questions or from the wording of the questions or from my

263 Judge's Charge instructions on them what the answers should be. 1 2 In order to aid you in reaching a verdict, I have 3 prepared what is known as a verdict sheet. This sheet will 4 be taken into the jury room with you and used during your 5 deliberations. 6 I'm going to ask that the court reporter mark this 7 as a Court exhibit, please. 8 (Whereupon, the verdict sheet was received and 9 marked Court Exhibit 4 in evidence.) 10 THE COURT: Question number one: "Was the accident of April 14, 2007 a proximate cause, that is, a 11 substantial factor in bringing about the injury or injuries 12 13 to plaintiff, Antonia Mary Corapi?" 14 At least five of you must agree on the answer to 15 this question and, if you answer yes, proceed to question 16 two. 17 If your answer is no, proceed no further and 18 report to the Court. 19 Question two: "State the amount awarded for the 20 following items of damages, if any, up to the date of your 21 verdict: Pain and suffering. You have a line and dollar 22 sign; medical expenses, a line and dollar sign. 23 "If you decide not to make an award as to an item above, you will insert the word 'none' next to that item." 24 25 At least five jurors must agree on the answer to

Judge	's C	harge

C

.

	Judge's Charge
1	this question. And signatures of the jurors.
2	Proceed to question three. Question three:
3	"State the total amount awarded for the following item of
4	damage, if any, from the date of your verdict to be incurred
5	in the future:
6	"Pain and suffering, include the permanent effect
7	of the injury, from the time of your verdict to the time
8	that plaintiff, Antonia Mary Corapi, could be expected to
9	live."
10	You have a line and a dollar sign.
11	"If you decide not to make an award as to the item
12	above, you insert the word 'none' next to that item." At
13	least five jurors must agree on the answer.
14	Proceed to question number four: "If you have
15	made an award for an amount intended to compensate plaintiff
16	for damages to be incurred in the future, state the period
17	of years over which such a amount is intended to provide
18	compensation."
19	Then as pain and suffering, include permanent
20	effect of the injury and as blank years. At least five
21	jurors must agree on the answer to this question and
22	signatures of the jurors.
23	Proceed to question five: "As to plaintiff Peter
24	Corapi: State the amount awarded for the following item of
25	damage, if any, resulting from Plaintiff Peter Corapi's

1	damage up to the date of your verdict: Loss of services and
2	consortium." And there's a line and a dollar sign.
3	"If you decide not to make an award as to an item
4	above, you will insert the word 'none' next to that item."
5	At least five jurors must agree on the answer to
6	the question and have signatures of the jurors.
7	Proceed to question six: "State the total amount
8	awarded for the following item of damage, if any, resulting
9	from Plaintiff, Peter Corapi's, damage to be incurred in the
10	future."
11	Then it has loss of services and consortium. You
12	have a line and a dollar sign.
13	"If you decide not to make an award as to the
14	above item, you will insert the word 'none' next to the
15	item." At least five jurors must agree on the answer to the
16	question.
17	Proceed to question seven. Question seven: "If
18	you have made any award for an amount intended to compensate
19	plaintiff for damage to be incurred in the future, state the
20	period of years over which such amount is intended to
21	provide compensation. Loss of services and consortium, line
22	and years. At least five jurors must agree on the answer to
23	this question and you have signature of the jurors.
24	The foreperson shall place his or her signature
25	below and shall report their verdict of the jury in the

	266 Judge's Charge
1	action to the Court.
2	The two alternates are to be kept separate and I
3	will let you know what is going to happen with the two
4	alternates.
5	· ·
	I'm going to ask you to go into the jury room. Do
6	not start to deliberate yet. Wait in the jury room a
7	moment, please.
. 8	Jurors, step out.
9	(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)
10	THE COURT: Any exceptions?
11	MR. NASH: No, Judge. No.
12	THE COURT: No requests?
13	MR. NASH: No.
. 14	THE COURT: Any exceptions?
15	MR. WASSERMAN: No.
16	THE COURT: Any requests?
17	MR. WASSERMAN: No, sir.
18	THE COURT: Do you want to hold on to the
19	alternates while deliberating or let the two go? You can
20	keep them here if you want just in case.
21	MR. NASH: I think we will keep them.
22	THE COURT: Keep them separate but have them wait.
23	They can start. Get the exhibits together so we can send
24	them in, please, the ones in evidence.
25	(Whereupon, the jury retires to commence

-

- A

.